

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			_		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,444	04/08/2004	Peter Gibson	52379/DBP/R178	7159	
23363	7590 05/23/2006		EXAM	INER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			BERTRAM, ERIC D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3766		
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	-				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/820,444	GIBSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric D. Bertram	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the maximum statutory perions are reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/8	<u>3/04</u> .				
,-					
·	_				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
o) Claim(s) 1-41 are subject to restriction and c	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 August 2004</u> is/ar					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr					
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		v Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	, □·	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,444 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-34, drawn to a magnetic alignment system, classified in class 607, subclass 060.
 - II. Claims 35-41, drawn to a cochlear implant system, classified in class 607, subclass 055.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination requires that the external transmitter be positionable on an implantee's head, and yet the particulars of the subcombination do not require that it be positionable on an implantee's head. The subcombination has separate utility such as an alignment system for a cardiac implant system, or a nerve stimulating device positioned near the spinal cord.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3766

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species A, directed to an arrangement for mounting a magnet as shown in figure 2a; Species B, directed to an arrangement for mounting a magnet as shown in figure 2b; Species C, directed to an arrangement for mounting a magnet as shown in figure 3; Species D, directed to an arrangement for mounting a magnet as shown in figures 3a and 3b; Species E, directed to an arrangement for mounting a magnet as shown in figures 5a and 5b; Species F, directed to an arrangement for mounting a magnet as shown in figure 7a; Species G, directed to an arrangement for mounting a magnet as shown in figures 9a-10b. The species are independent or distinct because the scopes of the embodiments vary, resulting in the need for a divergent search.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3766

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3766

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric D. Bertram whose telephone number is 571-272-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 9-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E. Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766

Eric D. Bertram Examiner Art Unit 3766

EDB